Who will tame the Wild West?

David D. Clark MIT CFP October 2008

The issue

- Your computer is constantly under attack.
 You have no right of self-defense.

 In other words, offense to defend yourself.

 If an attacker can attack repeatedly without deterrence, the attack will eventually succeed.
 Deterrence is ineffective today.
- The public sector has little capability (and no obligation) to defend you.

Self defense

IANAL

Classic self-defense (e.g. shooting the intruder) applies only in case where death or grievous bodily harm is feared.
A weak defense if only to protect property.
If you get into a fight, even though "he started it", both parties may be charged.
The law prosecutes the winner...
"Active defense" is highly suspect.

Defending your PC...

- The legality of defending your computer by attacking the attacker has (to my knowledge) never been tested.
- Case law would suggest that the response must no more than match the initial assault, and must be based on a clear assessment by the victim of what his level of peril is.

The duty of government

- In case of violent crime, they try real hard to "get there in time".
- They have no obligation to protect.
- In the case of cyber-crime, they have no skills and the timing is all wrong.

Deterrence

Even the government, with its instruments of intelligence, is hard-pressed to tell where a sophisticated attack comes from.
The immediate source of most attacks is an innocent PC that has been subverted.
What would it mean to deter this intermediate?

So what is going to happen?

(I predict that) there will be a movement toward a position that attacks against computers (both business and consumer) cannot be tolerated at the current levels.
 "Something has to be done".

But what shape might that "something" take?

Repeated attacks

I said "attack repeatedly without deterrence…".

If we cannot attribute attack, the only remaining deterrence is making the attacks no longer cost-effective.

Hint:

Attacks against business--high value.

Attacks against home computer--low value.

Cost models of attacks

Researchers are beginning to develop models for the value of a penetrated machine.

- Going rate for spam proxy: 3-10 cents/host/week.
 - Stefan Savage, talk at NDSS 2005

He has lots of other cool facts.

 Jason Franklin, Vern Paxson, Adrian Perrig and Stefan Savage, An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Internet Miscreants, Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security (CCS), Washington, D.C., October 2007.

Stefan Savage

His quote: "Chicken Little was an optimist". His formula to control bots: Prevention Improve software quality. Software heterogeneity (including artificial). Rapid software updating. Good hygiene: keep susceptible hosts off the net. Containment Slow down sending Quarantine infested host

Conclusion:

- If I do not have the right to fight back.
- If the government is essentially useless to defend me.
- If the problem keeps getting worse.
- Then someone will be given the job, and it is going to be (at least in part) the ISP.
 - Refer to Stefan's conclusions above.
- Another legal principle (IANAL) : liability should be assigned to the party who is best able to avoid a particular harm.
 - Who better than the ISP? Seriously?

Another expert

Dan Geer, Playing for Keeps, ACM Queue vol. 4, no. 9 - November 2006

Only three possible futures

- Abandon general purpose PCs for serverbased applications and thin, fixed function clients.
- Universal surveillance.
- Both of the above.

Across the board

- Consumer
- Industry/govt
- Military
- All are moving toward positioning the (some) responsibility "in the net".
 - Government to redesign its networks to limit access points for better protection.
 - See Op-Ed by Melissa Hathaway, Cyber Coordination Executive for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, published by the McClatchy-Tribune News Service on Wednesday, October 8, 2008

Your choices (you=access ISP)

Argue that this is not your job. Win for a while, then be required to do it. Step up and get ahead of the curve. Work out your preferred role in the ecosystem. Perhaps monetize the solution. (You don't get paid to conform to regulation.) Get your R&D labs working on this . . .

Revisit active defense

Rate limit the attacker.

- If only to the victim, almost certainly ok. Victim can request.
- But if to everyone?
- Send a puzzle.
 - If in a protocol and standardized as common practice, probably ok.
- Send attacker some Javascript or otherwise stun it?
- Take it off the net?
 - If this is a part of your terms of service...
 - MIT does this all the time.
 - The ISP can, but another user cannot, certainly.
- Tag traffic with its degree of misbehavior?
 - Blacklisting in all its forms.